

**Washington Unified School District**  
**Proposition 39 Energy Expenditure Plan Summary**

The following pages are the Energy Expenditure Plan documents in the format required for CEC submission, along with required supporting documentation. The CEC has not set these forms up in a printer-friendly format, but they are provided for your reference nonetheless. All required forms have been prepared in accordance with the Strategic Energy Plan approved by the Board of Education on November 13, 2014. The following table is an executive summary of the information contained in the plan, which when approved by the California Energy Commission, will reserve Prop 39 funding for all five fiscal years available. No additional funding contribution from the District is required for these projects.

School/Measure	Project Cost	Prop 39 Funding Requested	Savings to Investment Ratio
<b>Alyce Norman Elementary</b>			
Packaged HVAC Unit Replacement	\$ 172,755	\$ 172,755	1.21
Heat Pump Replacement	\$ 91,595	\$ 91,595	1.54
Subtotal, Alyce Norman Elementary	\$ 264,350	\$ 264,350	1.32
<b>Elkhorn Village Elementary</b>			
Packaged HVAC Unit Replacement	\$ 143,963	\$ 143,963	0.92
Heat Pump Replacement	\$ 193,367	\$ 193,367	1.15
Subtotal, Elkhorn Village Elementary	\$ 337,330	\$ 337,330	1.05
<b>Riverbank Elementary</b>			
Packaged HVAC Unit Replacement	\$ 86,378	\$ 86,378	0.79
Heat Pump Replacement	\$ 122,126	\$ 122,126	1.31
Install Solartubes in Gymnasium	\$ 31,768	\$ 31,768	0.98
Subtotal, Riverbank Elementary	\$ 240,272	\$ 240,272	1.08
<b>Westfield Village Elementary</b>			
Packaged HVAC Unit Replacement	\$ 230,340	\$ 230,340	0.91
Heat Pump Replacement	\$ 132,304	\$ 132,304	1.29
Water Heater Replacement	\$ 1,843	\$ 1,843	2.43
Subtotal, Westfield Village Elementary	\$ 364,487	\$ 364,487	1.05
<b>Westmore Oaks Elementary</b>			
Heat Pump Replacement	\$ 91,595	\$ 91,595	1.07
<b>Yolo High</b>			
Heat Pump Replacement	\$ 91,595	\$ 91,595	1.05
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,389,629</b>	<b>\$ 1,389,629</b>	

Grant Amount Requested: #REF!	
Fiscal Year <u>2014-15</u>	Grant Balance Available: \$ <u>345,864.00</u>
Expenditure Plan Submittal Option: <u>Multiple-Year (bundled) Award Expenditure Plan</u>	

  

### 1. Applicant Information

Local Education Agency (LEA) Name: <u>Washington Unified</u> LEA CDS Code: <u>57726940000000</u> Mailing Address: <u>930 Westacre Road</u>	Tier: <u>4</u> City: <u>West Sacramento</u> Zip Code: <u>956913224.00</u>
LEA Authorized Representative: <u>Scott Lantsberger</u> Title: <u>Assistant Superintendent of Business Services</u>	Phone: <u>(916) 375-7604 x 1010</u> E-mail: <u>slantsberger@wusd.k12.ca.us</u>
Project Manager: <u>Curtis Schmitt</u> Title: <u>Consultant</u>	Phone: <u>(415) 286-1670</u> E-mail: <u>curts@arc-alternatives.com</u>

  

### 2. Energy Planning Reservation Information *(only available for fiscal year 2013-2014 award)*

Did you request Energy Planning Funds? <i>(If no, move on to next section)</i> <u>No</u>	
Budget for Energy Surveys and Energy Audits: _____	Amount Spent for Energy Surveys and Energy Audits: _____
Budget for Proposition 39 Program Assistance: _____	Amount Spent for Proposition 39 Program Assistance: _____
Budget for Energy Manager: _____	Amount Spent for Energy Manager: _____
Budget for Training: _____	Amount Spent for Training: _____
Totals: \$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>-</u>

  

### 3. Energy Manager and Training

Are you hiring an Energy Manager with funds requested in this Expenditure Plan? No Amount requesting for Energy Manager: \_\_\_\_\_

Are you using Proposition 39 funds for energy related training costs? No Amount requesting for Training: \_\_\_\_\_

  

### 4. Summary of Schools/Sites

List all schools/sites where Proposition 39 funds from this Expenditure Plan will be used. Each school/site listed must have a Expenditure Plan Project Summary Form B completed and attached. If more than 20 sites are identified please use Additional School Sites Tab as necessary.

Estimated Project Cost				Estimated Project Cost			
School/Site	CDS #	Total Project Cost	Proposition 39 Share	School/Site	CDS #	Total Project Cost	Proposition 39 Share
1 Alyce Norman	57726946056329	\$ 264,350.00	\$ 264,350.00	11			
2 Elkhorn Village	57726946056352	\$ 337,330.00	\$ 337,330.00	12			
3 Riverbank	57726940116996	\$ 240,272.00	\$ 240,272.00	13			
4 Westfield Village	57726946056394	\$ 364,487.00	\$ 364,487.00	14			
5 Westmore Oaks	57726946056402	\$ 91,595.00	\$ 91,595.00	15			
6 Yolo Continuation	57726945739552	\$ 91,595.00	\$ 91,595.00	16			
7				17			
8				18			
9				19			
10				20			
Estimated Total:				#REF!			

  

### 5. Job Creation Estimates

Please fill in the corresponding budget amounts for each applicable type of project which the Proposition 39 grant funds will be used.

Type of Project Energy Efficiency \$ <u>1,389,629.00</u> Renewable Energy \$ <u>-</u> Clean Advanced Distributed Energy \$ <u>-</u>	Budget	Estimated Direct Job-Years Created <u>7.78</u> 0 0 Total: <u>7.78</u>	Please list any state-certified apprenticeship programs being used: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>
Apprenticeship Information Apprenticeships \$ <u>-</u>	Budget	Estimated Apprenticeship Job-Years Created 0	
Other Trainee Position Title <i>(Please use Additional Trainees tab as necessary)</i> _____ _____ _____		Estimated Other Trainee Jobs Created <i>(Please use Additional Trainees tab as necessary)</i> _____ _____ _____	
Total: #REF!			Will this project be subject to a community benefits agreement, community workforce agreement, or other mechanism that defines project co-benefits? <u>No</u>

  

### 6. Self-Certifications

Yes The LEA followed the Proposition 39 Guidelines regarding eligible energy project prioritization considerations.

Yes The LEA followed the guidelines regarding sequencing of facility improvements.

Yes The LEA commits to use the funds for the eligible energy project(s) approved in its energy expenditure plan.

Yes The LEA commits that the information included in the application is true and correct based to the best of the LEA's knowledge.

Yes The LEA commits that all California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements are completed.

Yes The LEA will obtain DSA project approval as applicable pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 24.

Yes The LEA acknowledges that the expenditures are subject to financial audit requirements (Public Resources Code Section 26206(e) and 26240(g)).

Yes The LEA commits to complying with all reporting requirements.

Reminder: Please remember to include the signed Utility Data Release Authorization Form for your utility provider to release data to the Energy Commission

TYPE Name of Authorized Representative: /s/ Scott Lantsberger Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Version 1.0



























